

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,840 10/23/2001		Xiaobo Fan	P14992US1	9171	
27902 75	590 01/24/2005	. EXAMINER			
ERICSSON RESEARCH CANADA 8400 DECARIE BLVD. MONTREAL, QC H4P 2N2 CANADA			GREENE, DANIEL L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No	Applicant(s)				
./		"		' ' ' '	. •			
Ŋ	Office Action Summary	09/682,840		FAN, XIAOBO				
/	Omce Action Gammary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAIL ING DATE of this second is at	Daniel L. Gr		3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the d	over sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eventition. rs, a reply within the statutor r period will apply and will a y statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be timely minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 11 November 200)4. \					
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
′=	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applic	cation						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,3 and 10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-9,11-19</u> is/are rejected.							
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election red	juirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P7	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Englishments.	uments have been uments have been e priority documen Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/r No(s)/Mail Date	(SB/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/682,840 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/11/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner reviewed paragraph 0012 on the description, which the Applicant claims "clearly states the deficiency of the existing solution to avoid bursty accounting messaging traffic between a call server and a AAA server." The designated paragraph effectively paraphrased the advantages but did not provide information on why staggering billing information is unique, original and advances the technological art involved.
- **2.** Claims 2,3, and 10 have been cancelled.

3.

4. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jansen et al. U.S. Patent 6,243,450 [Jensen], and further in view of Rainis et al. U.S. Patent 6,310,873 [Rainis].

3.

As per claims 1, 8, and 15:

The recitation that, "A method for sending accounting data, A telecommunication system comprising, and A call server, comprising, has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a method, a system, an apparatus, etc. and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the method or the system, etc., not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951)*

Jensen and Rainis discloses the claimed invention, except for the step of sending from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Stop message comprising the accounting data and sending from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Start message indicative of a start of a second portion of the IP session that is to be charged according to a second billing rate. Since the applicant has not disclosed that sending from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Stop message comprising the accounting data and sending from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Start message indicative of a start of a second portion of the IP session that is to be charged according to a second billing rate solves any stated problem in a new or unexpected way or is for any particular purpose which is unobvious to one of ordinary skill and it appears that the claimed feature does not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art since, the teachings of Jensen and Rainis will perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with any means, method, or product to provide the an accounting record of the call incorporating different rates for different times during the length of the call. The Applicant in their Specification effectively states that the purpose

Application/Control Number: 09/682,840

Art Unit: 3621

of their invention is to provide a more uniform and controlled manner in presenting billing data to the AAA server. Both Jensen and Rainis utilize a Payment Manager providing for the uniform and controlled manner in presenting billing data.

Jensen discloses the claimed invention except for the tracking and incrementing the time slices of the conversation. Jensen does disclose the use of timers and applying rate information. Rainis teaches that it is known in the art to provide "heartbeat packets" that provide the running cost of the call. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the bill generation of Jensen with the "heartbeat packets" of Rainis, to provide a means for incrementing cost of a call.

As per the aforementioned modifiers, Jensen discloses:

during an IP session, collecting accounting data at a first billing rate in the call server. Col. 9, lines 20-35.

following a change of billing rate applicable to the IP sessions from the first billing rate to a second billing rate, storing the collected accounting data in memory of the call server. Col. 9, lines 20-35, Col. 11, lines 1-67.

following an occurrence of an accounting event in the call server, detecting that the call server comprises the collected accounting data pending transmission to the AAA server, the collected accounting data comprising at least an activity parameter indicative of an activity during a past first portion of the IP session. Col. 12, lines 34-67.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,840

Art Unit: 3621

upon detection that the call server comprises the collected accounting data pending transmission to the AAA server, sending from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Stop message comprising the collected accounting data; Col. 11, lines 1-67.

sending from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Start message indicative of a start of a second portion of the IP session that is to be charged according to the second billing rate. Col. 11, lines 1-67.

As per claims 4 and 11:

Jensen further discloses:

wherein the change of the billing rate is triggered by an expiration of a Time of Day Timer of the call server. Col. 12, lines 1-67.

As per claims 5, 12, and 17:

Jensen further discloses:

wherein the accounting event is an accounting stop event indicative of a termination of the IP session, Col. 10, lines 40-60.

Jensen and Rainis discloses the claimed invention, except for the step of sending from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Stop message comprising the accounting data and sending from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Start message indicative of a start of a second portion of the IP session that is to be charged according to a second billing rate. Since the applicant has not disclosed that sending

from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Stop message comprising the accounting data and sending from the call server to the AAA server an Accounting Start message indicative of a start of a second portion of the IP session that is to be charged according to a second billing rate solves any stated problem in a new or unexpected way or is for any particular purpose which is unobvious to one of ordinary skill and it appears that the claimed feature does not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art since, the teachings of Jensen and Rainis will perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with any means, method, or product to provide the an accounting record of the call incorporating different rates for different times during the length of the call. The Applicant in their Specification effectively states that the purpose of their invention is to provide a more uniform and controlled manner in presenting billing data to the AAA server. Both Jensen and Rainis utilize a Payment Manager providing for the uniform and controlled manner in presenting billing data.

As per claims 6, 13, and 18:

Jensen does not expressly show wherein the accounting event is a first accounting interim event. However this difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The accounting event is a first accounting interim event step would be performed the same regardless of the accounting interim. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381,

Application/Control Number: 09/682,840

Art Unit: 3621

1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the accounting event is a first accounting interim event because such data does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention. The accounting event will occur regardless if it was a first, second, etc. interim event.

As per claims 7, 14, and 19:

Jensen does not expressly show wherein following an occurrence of a next account interim event in the call server during the IP session, sending from the call server to the AAA server a second Account Interim message comprising at least an activity parameter indicative of an activity during the second portion of the IP session that is to be charged according to the second billing rate.

However this difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The accounting event following an occurrence of a next account interim event in the call server during the IP session, sending from the call server to the AAA server a second Account Interim message comprising at least an activity parameter indicative of an activity during the second portion of the IP session that is to be charged according to the second billing rate step would be performed the same regardless of the accounting interim. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms

of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform following an occurrence of a next account interim event in the call server during the IP session, sending from the call server to the AAA server a second Account Interim message comprising at least an activity parameter indicative of an activity during the second portion of the IP session that is to be charged according to the second billing rate because such data does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention. The accounting event will occur regardless if it was a first, second, etc. interim event.

As per claim 9:

Jensen further discloses:

following a change of a billing rate applicable to the IP session, from the first billing rate to the second billing rate, collecting the accounting data from the call Server.

Col. 11, lines 1-67.

As per claim 16:

Jensen further discloses:

wherein before determining if any accounting data is pending transmission to the AAA server, the call server stores the accounting data in the memory following a

change of a billing rate applicable to the IP session, from the first billing rate to the second billing rate. Col. 9-10, lines 1-67.

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Greene whose telephone number is 703-306-5539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur. 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Daniel L. Greene Examiner

Art Unit 3621

1/13/2005